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The Current Situation

• While orphan medicinal products (OMPs) are authorized EU-wide, access and reimbursement decisions are made at the national or even regional level.

• Health Technology Assessment at the European level is the exception, not the rule.

• The legal, procedural, structural and economic frameworks for assessment, appraisal, decision-making and delivery differ widely.

• There is wide variety in access to OMPs in Europe (As is the case for many high-priced medicines).
What is Working?

- Support for rare diseases at the European level (e.g. through European Reference Networks)

- The current orphan drug regulation is a success story: more and more companies are involved in OMPs, more new medicines are being developed.

- There is an awareness of (and accommodation for) the special situation of OMPs with regard to the evidence base – even when this is not reflected in the formal procedures for reimbursement decision-making.

- Patient awareness and advocacy: awareness of the importance of patient-reported outcomes in drug development is growing.

- Awareness of the need and willingness by (many) European Member States to cooperate
What Needs to be Improved?

- Equal access across member states
- Routine Europe-wide HTA of OMPs
- Europe-wide joint price negotiations
- Acceptability of prices of newer OMPs – these are sometimes so high that OMPs are either rejected or payers feel that the current framework is being abused by companies
- Do we have as many generics for “ex-orphans” as we expected?
- Is the current orphan regulation still aligned with its original policy goals?
Questions to Discuss
What should a more cooperative framework at the European level look like?

- Can collaborative and voluntary experiences such as BeNELuxAI be scaled up and made sustainable?
- Could we negotiate a fair price at the EU level, based for example on experience such as MoCA?
- Is it possible to move to a European table of negotiation?
What is MoCA?

It is a

• voluntary
• non-legislative,
• non-regulatory and
• non-binding collaboration

• among stakeholders who are willing to work together to provide real access to a real solution for real patients with real unmet medical needs

Since 2014, MoCA has discussed 19 projects with 16 companies/consortia

MoCA discussions are possible before, during and after marketing authorisation
### The Transparent Value Framework for Multi-Stakeholder Consensus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Lower Degree</th>
<th>Medium Degree</th>
<th>High Degree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Alternatives/Unmet Need, including non-pharmaceutical treatment options</td>
<td>yes, new medicine does not address unmet need</td>
<td>yes, but major unmet need still remains</td>
<td>no alternatives except best supportive care - new drug addresses major unmet need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Relative) Effectiveness, Degree of Net Benefit (Clinical Improvement, QoL, etc. vs. side effects) relative to alternatives, including no treatment, societal impact, etc.</td>
<td>incremental</td>
<td>major</td>
<td>curative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response Rate (based on best available clinically relevant criteria)</td>
<td>&lt;30%</td>
<td>30-60%</td>
<td>&gt;60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of Certainty (Documentation)</td>
<td>promising but not well-documented</td>
<td>plausible</td>
<td>unequivocal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New orphan medicinal products could be assessed according to how well they fulfilled the different criteria at a given point in time. This could be compared with other therapeutic alternatives and be included as one factor in pricing negotiations in Member States.
How can we progress pricing negotiations at the European level?

- Are outcomes based managed entry agreements a way forward?
- Is a collaborative approach possible with this regard?